



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/068,238	03/26/1999	Udo Nobel	766X89029IN	2114
7590 01/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack Suite 800			DAYOAN, DARRELL G	
2033 K Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			3612	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/068,238	NOBEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Patricia L. Engle	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 28-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 44-52 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 41 and 42 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-40 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioring application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	(PTO-413) te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/068,238

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 28-32, 35-37, 40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohlenforst et al. (US Patent 5,261,718) in view of Braendle et al.

Regarding claims 28 and 43, Ohlenforst et al. discloses a window for a vehicle, comprising: a glazing (1); an elastomeric glazing profile (37) disposed around at least a part of the periphery of said glazing on a margin of a face of said glazing (Fig. 4); a mounting flange (41) having an inner edge defining an aperture in a vehicle body, a first face facing said glazing profile and a second face (Fig. 4) facing away from said glazing; wherein said profile (37) has a raised portion (37) that is shaped and positioned to center said glazing (1) within said aperture during insertion of said glazing in said aperture by bearing against said inner edge of said

mounting flange (41); wherein said raised portion (37) comprises a tip (40) positioned so that after insertion of said glazing, said tip bears against said second face of said mounting flange facing away from said glazing (Fig. 4); and wherein said glazing is bonded to said mounting flange by an adhesive material (44). Regarding claim 29, Ohlenforst et al. discloses the window, wherein said raised portion (37) of said profile (37) is in the form of a lip (40) extending away from said glazing which, after insertion of said glazing in said aperture, extends beyond said inner edge of said mounting flange (41) and over said second face of said mounting flange facing away from said glazing. Regarding claims 30 and 31, Ohlenforst et al. discloses the window, wherein said profile (37) further includes a spacer portion (Fig. 4) on a peripheral side of said raised portion (37), said spacer portion (34) abutting said first face of said mounting flange during installation and acting as a stop for said glazing and maintaining said glazing in a fixed spaced relationship to said mounting flange. Regarding claim 32, Ohlenforst et al. discloses the window, wherein said raised portion (37) is adapted to retain said glazing in a centered position with respect to the mounting flange (41) while said adhesive material (41) sets during installation. Regarding claim 35, Ohlenforst et al. disclose the window, further comprising means for pulling (38) the lip over the mounting flange. Regarding claim 38, Ohlenforst et al. disclose the window, wherein said raised portion of said profile comprises a first surface (34) at a first slanting angle relative to said mounting flange which initially centers said glazing as said glazing is initially moved to said aperture, and a second surface (37) at a second slanting angle to the mounting flange that maintains a centered position of said glazing after insertion of said glazing into said aperture and a step between said first surface and said second surface engaged by said inner edge of said mounting flange. Regarding claim 39, Ohlenforst et al. disclose a

Application/Control Number: 09/068,238

Art Unit: 3612

profile with two different height raised portions (18 and 21) with a groove (17) along the profile. Regarding claim 40, Ohlenforst et al. disclose the window, wherein said glazing profile comprises a single piece of elastomeric material.

Ohlenforst et al. do not disclose that the raised portion is in the form of a curled lip or that said glazing is inserted in said aperture from outside the vehicle body. Regarding the limitation that the lip is curled. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the lip in a curled shape (as defined by the Applicant as forming coils) as it would merely involve the alternate utilization of an equivalent lip means to achieve the same exact function.

Braendle et al. discloses a profile wherein said glazing is inserted in said aperture from outside the vehicle body (since portion 2 of the glazing faces the interior of the vehicle and the glazing with the profile is prefabricated to be mounted on the vehicle, it would have been inherent that the window is inserted from outside the vehicle body).

Braendle et al. and Olenforst et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., window glazing profiles for centering the glazing on a vehicle aperture.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include insert the window from outside the vehicle.

The motivation would have been to make assembly easy.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Ohlenforst et al. with Braendle et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claims 28-32, 35, 38, 39, 40 and 43.

Regarding claims 36 and 37, the Applicant admits (by not traversing the Official Notice in the previous office action) that using a metal wire of a cord as the pulling means is well known in the art and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 09/068,238

Art Unit: 3612

invention to use a metal wire or a cord. The motivation would have been to use an inexpensive means for pulling the lip over the mounting flange.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a groove along the profile. The motivation would have been to ensure proper alignment of the glazing and the mounting flange.

4. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohlenforst et al. in view of Braendle et al. as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Schroter (EP 0304694).

Ohlenforst et al. as modified disclose the window of claim 28.

Ohlenforst et al. as modified do not disclose a lip on a peripheral side thereof having a base extending outwards from said glazing and a body extending in a curved towards a direction perpendicular to said face of said glazing, said lip sealing against said mounting flange.

Schroter discloses a profile with a lip (10) on a peripheral side thereof having a base extending outwards from said glazing and a body extending in a curved towards a direction perpendicular to said face of said glazing, said lip sealing against said mounting flange.

Ohlenforst et al. and Schroter are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., window glazing profiles for centering the glazing on a vehicle aperture.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an additional lip sealing against the mounting flange.

The motivation would have been to provide additional protection against the adhesive becoming exposed to the environmental conditions which could degrade its performance, such as rain.

Art Unit: 3612

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Schroter with Ohlenforst et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 33.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 41 and 42 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-43 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Engle whose telephone number is (571) 272-6660. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L Engle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

ple January 23, 2006